

PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD

JUNE 11, 2003

1. ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. Board members present were Chair Mike Bender, Vice-Chair Bob Waitkus, Casey Lee, Scott McLaughlin, and Mimi Turin. Also present were Town Attorney Monroe Kiar, Attorney Andre Park, Planner Deborah Ross and Board Secretary Janet Gale recording the meeting.

2. PLATS

2.1 P 10-5-02, W.W.J.D., 7665 Davie Road Extension (B-2)

Jane Storms, representing the applicant, was present. Ms. Ross read the planning report.

Vice-Chair Waitkus made a motion, seconded by Mr. McLaughlin, to approve. In a roll call vote, the vote was as follows: Chair Bender – yes; Vice-Chair Waitkus – yes; Ms. Lee – yes; Mr. McLaughlin – yes; Ms. Turin – yes. **(Motion carried 5-0)**

2.2 P 11-1-02, Victoria Villas, 5151 SW 61 Avenue (CF)

Jay Evans, representing the petitioner, was present. Ms. Ross read the planning report.

Mr. Evans stated that he was in agreement with the comments and conditions as read in the report.

Vice-Chair Waitkus corrected that the location was between Griffin Road and Stirling Road. This correction was noted by Ms. Ross.

Mr. McLaughlin questioned the density. Mr. Evans clarified that issue and Ms. Ross substantiated the basis for calculating the density. It was made clear by Ms. Ross that a maximum of “80 residents” was restricted to this facility.

Ms. Lee made a motion, seconded by Vice-Chair Waitkus, to approve. In a roll call vote, the vote was as follows: Chair Bender – yes; Vice-Chair Waitkus – yes; Ms. Lee – yes; Mr. McLaughlin – yes; Ms. Turin – yes. **(Motion carried 5-0)**

3. PUBLIC HEARINGS

Special Permit

3.1 SE 4-2-03, Synalovski/United Jewish Community of Broward County, 5890 South Pine Island Road (CF)

Manny Synalovski, representing the petitioner, was present. Ms. Ross read the planning report and explained the unusual complications of this application request. She indicated that staff determined that a special permit application worked out to be the most suitable approach for this request.

Chair Bender asked if anyone wished to speak for or against this item. As no one spoke, the public hearing was closed.

Mr. Synalovski clarified the concept and intent of this five-year project. A lengthy discussion ensued regarding a myriad of issues. Although Board members understood and agreed with the concept, it was the consensus that it would work in a different zoning. The following issues were items of concern: 1) setting a precedent for other CF facilities; 2) parking at this facility; 3) having a “for-profit” user [tenant] in a building owned by a non-profit organization; 4) the length of time intended for the experimental program; 5) the low number of

reciprocal community service hours; 6) no declaration of the types of businesses that would not be acceptable; and 7) tax consequences.

PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD

JUNE 11, 2003

Ms. Lee made a motion, seconded by Vice-Chair Waitkus, to deny. In a roll call vote, the vote was as follows: Chair Bender – yes; Vice-Chair Waitkus – yes; Ms. Lee – yes; Mr. McLaughlin – yes; Ms. Turin – yes. **(Motion carried 5-0)**

Variance

3.2 V 5-3-03, Central Broward Water Control District, 8020 Stirling Road (CF)

Bryon Boyd and Doug Amos, representing the petitioner, were present. Ms. Ross read the planning report.

Chair Bender asked if anyone wished to speak for or against this item. As no one spoke, the public hearing was closed.

Chair Bender, Vice-Chair Waitkus, Ms. Lee and Mr. McLaughlin disclosed that they had discussed this item with representatives of the Central Broward Water Control District.

Ms. Lee made a motion, seconded by Mr. McLaughlin, to approve. In a roll call vote, the vote was as follows: Chair Bender – yes; Vice-Chair Waitkus – yes; Ms. Lee – yes; Mr. McLaughlin – yes; Ms. Turin – yes. **(Motion carried 5-0)**

4. OLD BUSINESS

Ms. Ross requested that in the future, if a motion was made to deny an item, that the Board member provide the reason for that recommendation so that the information could be conveyed to the Town Council when the item was reviewed.

5. NEW BUSINESS

There was no new business discussed.

6. COMMENTS AND/OR SUGGESTIONS

There were no comments and/or suggestions made.

7. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business and no objections, the meeting was adjourned at 8:17 p.m.

Date Approved _____

Chair/Board Member